

in 4a vs. 227 in 6a. In the bis derivative 7 there were two peaks at 221 and 235 cps.

Registry No.—2, 16797-56-1; 4a, 16797-57-2; 4b, 16797-59-4; 6a, 16797-60-7; 6b, 16797-61-8; 7, 16797-62-9.

Acknowledgment.—We thank Frances Holton for experimental assistance in part of this work.

Derivatives of Morphine. VI.¹ The Structure of Dihydrodesoxycodine E, the Product of Electrolytic Reduction of 14-Bromocodine

ULRICH WEISS,² THOMAS RÜLL,³ AND ROBERT B. BRADLEY²

National Institute of Arthritis and Metabolic Diseases,
National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland

Received March 1, 1968

Through electrolytic reduction of 14-bromocodine (I) in 25% sulfuric acid, Speyer and Sarre⁴ prepared a phenolic dihydrodesoxycodine (II), C₁₈H₂₃NO₂·0.5C₂H₅OH, mp 139–140° dec. Their results were subsequently confirmed by Small and Cohen,⁵ who proposed the name "dihydrodesoxycodine E" to distinguish the substance from the several other known dihydrodesoxycodines. Since II is reduced catalytically (Pd), with uptake of 1 mol of hydrogen, to the well-known tetrahydrodesoxycodine (III), it must be one of the four possible analogs of III with a double bond in ring C (Scheme I). Of these, the Δ⁵ and Δ⁶ isomers are known compounds,⁶ the dihydrodesoxycodines C and B, respectively. Both are different from II, which must thus have its double bond in Δ⁷ (IIb) or Δ⁸⁽¹⁴⁾ (IIa). No evidence on this point appears in the published literature, but the two possibilities should be readily distinguishable by nmr spectroscopy.

Colorless, well-crystallized preparations of II, obtained from a strongly discolored authentic sample⁷ still remaining from the work of Small and Cohen⁵ by recrystallization from a variety of solvents or by vacuum sublimation, and a new sample isolated by alumina chromatography from a crude product prepared recently by the method of Speyer and Sarre,⁴ all showed a triplet (1 H) centered around δ ~5.7 in their nmr spectra. This triplet is very similar to that occurring at δ 5.5^{8,9} in the spectrum of neopine (IV) as the X part of a deceptively simple ABX system,⁸ and definitely caused by the proton at C-8. As expected, similar signals appear in the spectra of other Δ⁸⁽¹⁴⁾ compounds, such as isoneopine (V, triplet, δ 5.5),⁹ desoxycodine D

("desoxyneopine")¹⁰ (VI, triplet, δ 5.5),¹¹ and neopine methyl ether¹² (VII, quartet, δ 5.45).¹¹

The material available at present thus unquestionably has formula IIa rather than IIb. However, even our best samples, though homogeneous on gas chromatography, gave low, very unsharp melting points instead of mp 139–140° given in the literature. Unequivocal proof was thus needed that the substance is chemically identical with the one prepared long ago.^{4,5} This proof was furnished by the complete agreement of the melting points of the methiodide and methyl ether methiodide, prepared from one of our samples, with literature values.⁴ The nmr spectrum of II methiodide again showed a triplet (1 H) in the olefinic region, this time centered at δ 6.25, and analogous to signals in the spectra of the methiodides of VI¹⁰ and VII¹² (triplets at δ 6.3 and 6.1, respectively).

These findings prove conclusively that II is indeed the Δ⁸⁽¹⁴⁾ isomer IIa. The discrepancy between the melting points found by us for II, and those recorded in the literature, appears to be based on polymorphism rather than variable solvation, since it persists after sublimation.

II is thus formed from I by removal of the carbonyl oxygen, reductive opening of the oxygen bridge, and hydrogenolysis of the bromine with allylic shift of the double bond. The last one of these changes is formally identical with that taking place during the catalytic (Pd on C in chloroform) reduction¹³ of I to neopine (VIII). The conversion of I to II might conceivably consist of an analogous process, accompanied by the removal of the carbonyl oxygen and opening of the ether bridge. The two processes may or may not be totally concerted; however, no information on the actual mechanism of the electrolytic process is available.

Experimental Section

Nmr spectra were taken on a Varian A-60 instrument in CDCl₃ with TMS as internal standard.

Dihydrodesoxycodine E (IIa).—Both the original, brown sample and the colorless, well-crystallized (rhombic leaflets) preparations obtained from it by vacuum sublimation or recrystallization from ethanol, benzene, or ether, showed very unsharp melting points from about 90° to about 110–115° if taken on a Kofler hot stage. In capillaries, partial melting took place from ~100° up, the last crystals disappearing only at ~140°. Vapor phase chromatograms of the purified samples showed only one peak, retention time 3.55 min (3% OV-17 on Gas Chrom Q mesh 80; column 6 ft × 3 mm, 235°; inlet pressure 26 psi).¹⁴ The old sample showed the same peak accompanied by very small peaks at 4.5 and 8.8 min.

The methiodide (mp 198–199° after recrystallization from ethanol and benzene, lit.⁴ mp 199°) and methyl ether methiodide (mp 238–243°, lit.⁴ mp 245°) were prepared by the method of Speyer and Sarre.⁴ The sample of VI methiodide, left from the work of Small and Mallonee,¹⁰ was labeled "mp 203–204°;" the melting point is given as "204–206° (evacuation tube)" in ref 10. However, the melting point was now found to be ~230° (hot stage); Rapoport and Bonner¹⁵ found 233–234°.

Isolation of II.—The crude mixture of bases resulting from reduction¹⁶ of I by the method of Speyer and Sarre⁴ was chro-

(1) Paper V: U. Eisner and U. Weiss, *J. Org. Chem.*, **33**, 1264 (1968).
(2) Laboratory of Physical Biology, National Institute of Arthritis and Metabolic Diseases, Bethesda, Md. 20014.
(3) Laboratoire de Chimie Organique des Hormones, Collège de France, Paris 5^e, France.
(4) E. Speyer and K. Sarre, *Ber.*, **57**, 1404 (1924).
(5) L. F. Small and F. L. Cohen, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **53**, 2227 (1931).
(6) Cf. K. W. Bentley, "The Chemistry of the Morphine Alkaloids," Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1954, p 155, and literature quoted there.
(7) This sample and those of several reference compounds were made available from the collection of the late Dr. L. F. Small through the courtesy of Drs. E. L. May and L. S. Sargent.
(8) T. Rull, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.*, 586 (1963).
(9) S. Okuda, S. Yamaguchi, Y. Kawazoe, and K. Tsuda, *Chem. Pharm. Bull. (Tokyo)*, **12**, 104 (1964); T. J. Batterham, K. H. Bell, and U. Weiss, *Aust. J. Chem.*, **18**, 1799 (1965).

(10) L. Small and J. E. Mallonee, *J. Org. Chem.*, **5**, 192 (1940).
(11) Unpublished observations.
(12) L. F. Small, *ibid.*, **20**, 953 (1955).
(13) H. Conroy, *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.*, **77**, 5960 (1955).
(14) The authors are indebted to Mrs. P. F. Highet for the gas chromatograms.
(15) H. Rapoport and M. Bonner, *ibid.*, **73**, 2872 (1951).
(16) The authors are much indebted to Mlle. G. Chaliier, Grenoble, France, for performing this electrolytic reduction.

